

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

ROBERT JACKSON

Case No. 2:16-cv-00995-APG-NJK

**Plaintiff,**

V.

STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

## **ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

### Defendants.

(ECF. Nos. 34, 53)

10 On April 3, 2018, Magistrate Judge Koppe entered a report and recommendation that I  
11 deny without prejudice plaintiff Robert Jackson’s motion for temporary restraining order because  
12 he did not address or establish all factors needed obtain a restraining order. ECF No. 53. Jackson  
13 did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and  
14 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo  
15 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is  
16 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the  
17 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo if  
18 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF No. 53) is accepted. Plaintiff Robert Jackson's motion for temporary restraining order (ECF No. 34) is DENIED without prejudice.

DATED this 26th day of April, 2018.

  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE